

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/719,904

Applicant(s)

BARTLETT, ANDREW C.

Examiner

Insun Kang

Art Unit

2193

All Participants:

(1) Insun Kang (Examiner).

(2) Mr. Lee (Reg. No. L0248).

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Simulink

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During the telephone interview, amendments that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims were discussed and agreement on the amendments has been reached.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)